





Trauma Care Systems Opportunity for Revision

Cathy Chidester, RN, MSN EMS Agency Director June 7, 2016



Airplane on 210 freew

OBJECTIVES

- List three benefits of verification of trauma centers by the American College of Surgeons (ACS)
- List two goals of the Statewide trauma system
- State the role of government regulators
- Define "Regulatory Capture"
- Discuss three issues with the use of the ACS verification in the Trauma Regulations
- Develop two recommendations to be considered in a Trauma Regulation revision

Trauma System Goals



- ➤ Decrease the incidence and severity of trauma
- ➤ Ensure access to care
- ➤ Prevent unnecessary deaths and disabilities
- **≻**Contain costs
- ➤ Ensure appropriate resources
- Remain viable in today's healthcare environment
- >Get some recognition and help for the system



Glendale Fire Department

Disclosure



- •I am a regulator
- •"... Fire Department needs a sweeping overhaul of how it responds to thousands of 911 calls for emergency help each year, according to a letter from the county's top regulator obtained by The Times.
- Trauma systems are designed, implemented and monitored by regulators

No, not that type of regulator



A Government Regulator

Evil

Boring





We have feelings too.

We - PROTECT THE PUBLIC!



- •Bad things happen when regulations are poor, unclear or regulators fail to do their job
- •"Regulatory Capture"- regulator does the bidding of entity they are regulating
 - •Oil derricks blow up
 - •Gas leaks
 - Patients are abused
 - •People will die!



Regulatory failures – the list is endless Death, Destruction, Pillaging

Deep Water Horizon Oil

San Bruno Fire/gas leak





Okay - Back to Trauma Regulations

- Updating the trauma regulations
 - This is an opportunity to improve on the "Trauma Care System" by creating consistency of care and ensuring quality for trauma patients

I do not take system design and monitoring lightly!



And neither should you.

- •The LEMSA is:
 - Making the choice of hospital destination for the patient because I absolutely know:
 - •this is the best destination and you will receive the best care and have greatest chance of survival
 - this is the best destination every hour, day, month and year

- It is an important policy decision, the LEMSA is instructing the paramedics to bypass other hospitals to get to the <u>magnificent</u> Trauma Center
- •The LEMSA is assuring other hospitals we know this Trauma Center is the best destination
- We are removing business from local hospitals on behalf of the patient

- •I think this is a big responsibility
- •How can I assure the public that our destination policies are the best for them?

Trust but Verify!



 Honestly, the only way to know for certain, is to have a outside, third party, recognized expert, using accepted standards go in and check.

I was given the opportunity to discuss new regulations and work through key issues.

 Major discussion around the trauma regulations - American College of Surgeons (ACS)Verification requirement for Level I and II and possibly Level III trauma centers

What are the pros?

- ACS has an effective evaluation process to review and verify the qualifications of facilities according to a specific set of resources and quality standards
- ACS reviewers have extensive experience in providing care at trauma centers across the country
- Ensures a current and national perspective

What are the Pros? (continued)

- •ACS is proven and nationally recognized for their **expertise**
- •86% of the Level I and II trauma centers in California are verified
- •Credibility with the public
- Credibility with politicians

What are the Pros? (Continued)

- ACS has the expertise to verify quality care
- •TQIP requirements add to QI process
 - Allows for national benchmarking
 - Training for quality data

What are the Pros? (Continued)

The American College of Surgeons is the nationally & internationally recognized authority in trauma care



What are the Pros? (Continued)

- ACS criteria is similar to the current trauma regulations
- •ACS review process is utilized in 44 states
- Using ACS guidelines allows currency (1999 was the last revision of the Trauma Regulations)

What are the Pros? (Continued)

- ACS is the gold standard for trauma hospital and care assessment
- External independent review
- •I am attesting to the fact:
 - When you are being grilled about your system, it is comforting to point to the ACS as your trauma center verification standard

What are the Cons?

- •Cost \$15,000 +
- •Not able to control the cost
- Being tied to the ACS committee on trauma guidelines which may not be applicable or at times questionable
- •Some aspects of "Optimal" care of the injured patient may not be feasible
- Loss of some trauma centers
- •ACS may be too prescriptive

If ACS Verification is Required

- Processes must be thoroughly thought through and vetted
 - How long of a grace period before verification met
 - ·What if a trauma center fails
 - Probation
 - Suspension

- Will there be the ability to request an exemption from some standards
- •What will merit an exemption
- ·Who will decide if an exemption is granted

Revision of the Trauma Regulations also Provides the Opportunity to:

- Address proliferation of trauma centers
 - Consider population
 - No more than one Level II trauma center shall be designated for each <u>350,000</u> population
 - No more than one Level I trauma center shall be designated for each 600,000 population

- Geographic
 - •Number of miles between trauma centers
 - •Designation of a Level III trauma center must be X miles from Level I or II center
 - Ease of access (freeway close)

• Number of potential trauma patients

- Number of trauma patients with severe injury
- Transport times

Where do we go from here?



Consider:

- Continue with community input
- Listen to experts
- Be engaged in the process
- Require strong rationale
- Consider that the regulations will not be reopened for 20 years
- •Learn from other States experience
- Always protect the public and patients
- •Trust but Verify!

Thank you for your attention. **Any questions?**

